

PRINTER RUSH
(PTO ASSISTANCE)

Application :	10/667600	Examiner :	ROBERT
From:	NPB	Location:	ADC FMF FDC
		Date:	05/06/05
		Tracking #:	06090223
		Week Date:	03/28/05

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449		<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS		<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLM	11/24/04	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input type="checkbox"/> IIFW		<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW		<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW		
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH		
<input type="checkbox"/> 312		
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC		

[RUSH] MESSAGE:

In claim pages dated 11/24/04, renumbered claims 5, 6 and 7 (originally claims 7, 10 and 11, respectively) depends on claims 10 and 11 (originally claims 5 and 6, respectively).
Please advise/rect claim dependency. *jhunlyse*

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: Renumbered claims 5, 6 and 7 (originally claims 7, 10 and 11) are proper multiple dependent claims. While it is true that they depend from claims 10 and 11 (originally, claims 5 and 6, respectively), they also depend, in the alternative, from claim 4. Since claim 4 is the first claim in the sequence, claims 5, 6 and 7 (renumbered) depend therefrom. Therefore, the claim dependency and numbering is correct as set forth on the Issue Classification form already submitted.

INITIALS: *OL*

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.

REV 10/04